

MANUSCRIPT SUBMISSION GUIDELINES

International Problems publishes the following types of articles:

Original research article presents the results of research with clear contribution with a view of expanding and/or deepening of existing knowledge. It should be structured to include the following elements: general context and aim of research; theoretical background (review literature) clearly stated in the introduction; departing hypothesis or research question; applied methods; presentation and explanation of the results; conclusion discussing the main research findings departing hypothesis or research question.

Review article provides a comprehensive summary of research on a certain topic or a perspective on the state of the field by describing current areas of agreement as well as controversies and debates. Review article identifies gaps in knowledge and the most important but still unanswered research questions and suggest directions for future research.

Book review is a systematic description and/or critical analysis of the quality and significance of a book, edited volume, and textbook. Book review should include a general description of the topic and/or problem addressed by the work in question, summary of the book's main argument, basic biographical information about the author, summary of contents, strengths and weaknesses, as well as a concluding statement summarizing reviewer's opinion of the book. Each book review should refer to at least three other academic sources.

In preparing manuscripts authors are kindly requested to comply with the following rules:

FORMAT

All types of manuscripts should be submitted in Word and saved in .doc or .docx format.

Use Times New Roman font in size 12, with single-lined spacing, and with an empty line between paragraphs.

Use continuous line numbers starting on the first page, with page numbers on the right side of the bottom of the page.

LENGTH

Articles range from 6000–8000 words (excluding abstracts and bibliography).

The length of book review essays is up to 1500 words.

LANGUAGE

Only manuscripts written in English language will be considered. Please use the language consistently, coherently and adequately, having in mind the academic scope of the journal. Both British and American English are equally acceptable.

TITLE

Use bold for the article title (size 14).

The title should not only accurately describe the content of manuscript (i.e. convey the main topics of the study and highlight the importance of the research) but it should be concise.

NAME AND AFFILIATION

Below the title is given the author's full name, centered, with a footnote that refers to her/his institutional affiliation (the name of the institution and its seat), and her e-mail address. The surname should be written in all capital letters. Author's affiliation is the affiliation where the research was conducted.

In case of two co-authors, the names should be written next to one another, with each containing the affiliation footnote.

Although manuscripts co-authored by more than two researchers are untypical, they may be considered in rare occasions, depending on the scale of the research, its topic, main elements, structure and the extent of correspondence with the Editorial Policy.

In the footnote, the author also provides all details regarding the project under which the research presented in her article is conducted and/or sources of financial and other support. The author also may point to readers that some of the views presented in the article express her own opinion and not the one of the institution she works for.

ABSTRACT AND KEY WORDS

Below the author's name include abstract of 150–200 words that describes the material presented in the manuscript.

For original research article, the abstract must summarize the entire article, including theoretical background, the departing hypothesis or research question, the aim, a concise account of the methods, a clear description of the most important findings, and a brief presentation of the conclusions.

For review article, the abstract should include the primary objective of the review, the reasoning behind choice, the main outcomes and results of the review, and the conclusions that might be drawn, including their implications for further research, application, or practice.

The author provides up to 10 key words for the main idea of the article which can be used for indexing purposes. Key words should not repeat the title.

MAIN TEXT

The basic text should be justified.

Use no more than three levels of headings (all should be centered): First-level headings – **Heading**

Second-level headings – *Heading*

Third-level headings – *Heading*

Do not number headings.

Each new paragraph, including headlines, needs to be indented. This doesn't apply to the Abstract. Indents are made by placing the cursor at the beginning of the paragraph and pressing the Tab key once. Define all abbreviations at first mention in the abstract and in the main text by giving the full term, then the abbreviation in parentheses, and use them consistently thereafter.

Only the following form of quotation marks should be put in the text: “ ”. In case the additional quotation marks are to be put within these ones it should be done in the following way: ‘ ’. The text should be clear, readable, and concise. Manuscripts should be well presented, with correct grammar, spelling and punctuation. Please use gender-neutral language throughout the article. If the English is unsatisfactory, we will return the manuscript for correction without review.

Please use the spelling style consistently throughout your manuscript.

Latin, Old Greek and other non-English words and terms in the text should be italicised (e.g. *status quo*, *a priori*, *de facto*, *acquis communautaire*).

CITATION STYLE

International Problems uses the author-date reference style based on *The Chicago Manual of Style* (16th ed). Sources are cited in the text, usually in parentheses, by the author's surname, the publication date of the work cited, and a page number if necessary. Full details are given in the reference list (use the heading References).

The articles need to contain academically relevant, timely and verified sources (peer-reviewed, if feasible). Please refrain from inappropriate or biased citations that are disproportionately inclined towards a particular group, organization or publication. Likewise, please limit the number of self-citations to 2 (two).

In the text, the reference should be placed just before punctuation. If the author's name appears in the text, it is not necessary to repeat it, but the date should follow immediately:

Johnson and Axinn (2013, 136) argue that killing with emotions is morally superior to killing without emotions, because military honour demands a clear will to assume a risk of sacrifice of health and life.

If the reference is in parentheses, use square brackets for additional parentheses: (see, e.g., Johnson and Axinn [2013, 133–136] on this important subject).

In text, separate the references with semicolons:

(Jabri 2007; Herman 2004; Rohrbach 2020)

If citing more than one work by an author, do not repeat the name:

(Jabri 2007, 2011; Gregory 2014a, 2014b)

Book

Reference list entry:

Jabri, Vivienne. 2007. *War and the Transformation of Global Politics*. Basingstoke and New York: Palgrave MacMillan.

Tadjbakhsh, Shahrbanou, and Anuradha Chenoy. 2007. *Human Security: Concepts and Implications*, 2nd ed. Oxon: Routledge.

Vasquez, John A., Sanford Jaffe, James Turner Johnson, and Linda Stamato, eds. 1995. *Beyond Confrontation: Learning Conflict Resolution in the Post-Cold War Era*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.

Bentham, Jeremy (1907) 2018. *An Introduction to the Principles of Morals and Legislation*. Reprint, London: Clarendon Press. www.econlib.org/library/Bentham/bnthPML.html.

Dal Lago, Alessandro, and Salvatore Palidda, eds. 2010. *Conflict, Security and the Reshaping of Society: The Civilization of War*. Oxon & New York: Routledge.

Hayek, Friedrich A. 2011. *The Constitution of Liberty: The Definitive Edition*. Edited by Ronald Hamowy. Vol. 17 of *The Collected Works of F. A. Hayek*, edited by Bruce Caldwell. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1988–.

In-text citation:

(Jabri 2007, 59)

(Tadjbakhsh and Chenoy 2007)

(Vasquez et al. 1995)

(Bentham [1907] 2018)

(Dal Lago and Palidda 2010)

(Hayek 2011, 258)

Journal article

Reference list entry:

Nordin, Astrid H.M. and Dan Öberg. 2015. "Targeting the Ontology of War: From Clausewitz to Baudrillard". *Millennium: Journal of International Studies* 43 (2): 395–423.

Adams, Tracy, and Zohar Kampf. 2020. "'Solemn and just demands': Seeking apologies in the international arena". *Review of International Studies*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210520000261>.

In-text citation:

(Nordin and Öberg 2015, 401)

(Tracy and Kampf 2020)

Article in edited volume

Reference list entry:

Herman, Michael. 2004. "Ethics and Intelligence After September 2001". In: *Understanding Intelligence in the Twenty-First Century: Journeys in Shadows*, edited by Len V. Scott and Peter D. Jackson, 567–581. London and New York: Routledge.

Reference list entry:

(Herman 2004)

Conference paper (if not published in conference proceedings)

Reference list entry:

Korać, Srđan. 2016. "Human Security and Global Ethics: Can International Organizations be Moral Agents?". Paper presented at the Third International Academic Conference on Human Security, Human Security Research Center (HSRC), Faculty of Security Studies, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, November 4–5.

Reference list entry:

(Korać 2016)

Book review

Reference list entry:

Firchow, Pamina. 2020. "Measuring Peace: Principles, Practices and Politics", Review of *Measuring Peace*, by Richard Caplan. *International Peacekeeping* 27 (2): 337–338.

Reference list entry:

(Firchow 2020, 337)

Legal and official documents

International treaties

Reference list entry:

[PTBT] Treaty Banning Nuclear Weapon Tests in the Atmosphere, in Outer Space and Under Water. 1963. Signed by US, UK, and USSR, August 5. <https://treaties.un.org/doc/Publication/UNTS/Volume%20480/volume-480-I-6964-English.pdf>.

[TFEU] Consolidated Version of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union. 2012. *Official Journal of the European Union*, C 326, October 26. <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:12012E/TXT&from=EN>.

[UN Charter] Charter of the United Nations, October 24, 1945. <https://www.un.org/en/sections/un-charter/introductory-note/index.html>.

In-text citation:

(PTBT 1963, Article III, para. 3)

(TFEU 2012, Article 87)(UN Charter, Chapter X)

UN documents

Reference list entry:

[UNSC] UN Security Council. Resolution 2222, Protection of Civilians in Armed Conflict, S/RES/2222. May 27, 2015. <http://www.un.org/en/sc/documents/resolutions/2015.shtml>.

[UNGA] UN General Assembly. Resolution 67/18, Education for Democracy, A/RES/67/18. November 28, 2012. <https://undocs.org/pdf?symbol=en/A/RES/67/18>.

In-text citation:

(UNSC Res. 2222)

(UNGA Res. 67/18)

National legislation

Reference list entry:

[Constitution RS] Constitution of the Republic of Serbia. 2006. *Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia*, No. 98/2006.

Homeland Security Act. 2002. United States of America, 107th Congress, 2nd Session (November 25). https://www.dhs.gov/sites/default/files/publications/hr_5005_enr.pdf.

In-text citation:

(Constitution RS 2006, Article 111)

(Homeland Security Act 2002)

Official reports

Reference list entry:

[YILC] Yearbook of the International Law Commission. 2014. Vol. 2, Part Two. https://legal.un.org/docs/?path=../ilc/publications/yearbooks/english/ilc_2014_v2_p2.pdf&lang=ES.

[The 9-11 Commission] U.S. National Commission on Terrorist Attacks upon the United States. 2004. *The 9/11 Commission Report: Final Report of the National Commission on Terrorist Attacks Upon the United States*. Washington, D.C.: Government Publication Office.

US Congress. 1993. Nomination of R. James Woolsey to be Director of Central Intelligence: Hearing Before the Select Committee on Intelligence of the United States Senate. 104th Congress, 1st session, February 2–3, 1993. <https://www.intelligence.senate.gov/sites/default/files/hearings/103296.pdf>.

[USAFH] United States Air Force Headquarters. 2014. United States Air Force RPA Vector: Vision and Enabling Concepts: 2013–2038. www.af.mil/Portals/1/documents/news/USAFRPVectorVisionandEnablingConcepts2013-2038.pdf.

In-text citation:

(YILC 2014, 321)

(The 9-11 Commission 2004, 437) (US Congress 1993, 125)

(USAFH 2014)

EU legislation

Reference list entry:

Regulation (EU) No. 1052/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 October 2013 establishing the European Border Surveillance System (Eurosur). *Official Journal of the European Union*, L 295, 6 November 2013. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32013R1052&from=EN>.

[EC] European Commission. 2010. The EU Internal Security Strategy in Action: Five steps towards a more secure Europe, COM(2010) 673 final, Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament and the Council, November 22. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:52010DC0673&from=GA>.

Directive (EU) 2015/849 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 May 2015 on the prevention of the use of the financial system for the purposes of money laundering or terrorist financing, amending Regulation (EU) No 648/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council, and repealing Directive 2005/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council and Commission Directive 2006/70/EC (Text with EEA relevance), *Official Journal of the European Union*, L 141, 5 June 2015. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32015L0849&from=EN>.

In-text citation:

(Regulation [EU] No. 1052/2013, Article 11, para. 4)

(EC COM[2010] 673 final)

(Directive [EU] 2015/849)

Decisions of international courts and tribunals

Reference list entry:

[ICJ] International Court of Justice. Accordance with the International Law of the Unilateral Declaration of Independence in Respect of Kosovo, Advisory Opinion, 22 July 2010, ICJ Reports. <https://www.icj-cij.org/files/case-related/141/141-20100722-ADV-01-00-EN.pdf>. [ICJ Order 1999] *Legality of Use of Force (Yugoslavia v. United Kingdom)*. International Court of Justice, Order ICJ Rep. 1999 (June 2). <https://www.icj-cij.org/files/case-related/113/113-19990602-ORD-01-00-EN.pdf>.

[ICTY Indictment IT-98-32-A] *Prosecutor v. Vasiljevic*, Case No. IT-98-32-A. International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia, Indictment, 30 October 2000. <https://www.icty.org/x/cases/vasiljevic/ind/en/vasonly-ii000125e.pdf>.

Costa v Ente Nazionale per l'Energia Elettrica, Case 6/64, [1964] ECR 585. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A61964CJ0006>.

[CJEU Judgment T-289/15] *Hamas v Council*, Case T-289/15. Court of Justice of the European Union, Judgment, 6 March 2019, ECLI:EU:T:2019:138. <http://curia.europa.eu/juris/documents.jsf?language=EN&critereEcli=ECLI:EU:T:2019:138>

[Opinion of AG Bobek] *Région de Bruxelles-Capitale v Commission*, Case C-352/19 P. Court of Justice of the European Union. Opinion of Advocate General Bobek delivered on 16 July 2020(1), ECLI:EU:C:2020:588. <http://curia.europa.eu/juris/document/document.jsf?jsessionid=485A5D9AC129179D3D2F2.EC571A384CD?text=&docid=228708&pageIndex=0&doclang=EN&mode=req&dir=&occ=first&part=1&cid=5064004>.

In-text citation:

(ICJ Advisory Opinion 2010, 411)

(ICJ Order 1999, para. 3)

(ICTY Indictment IT-98-32-A)

(*Costa v ENEL*)

(CJEU Judgment T-289/15, para. 23)

(Opinion of AG Bobek C-352/19 P)

Newspapers and magazines

Reference list entry:

Gibbs, Samuel. 2017. "Elon Musk leads 116 experts calling for outright ban of killer robots", *The Guardian*, August 20.

Power, Matthew. 2013. "Confessions of a Drone Warrior", *GQ*, October 22. <https://www.gq.com/story/drone-uav-pilot-assassination>.

Economist. 2015. "Who will fight the next war?" October 24. <https://www.economist.com/united-states/2015/10/24/who-will-fight-the-next-war>.

In-text citation:

(Gibbs 2017, A10)

(Power 2013)

(*Economist* 2015)

Audio and visual media

Reference list entry:

Scott, Ridley. [1982] 2007. *Blade Runner: The Final Cut*. Directed by Ridley Scott. Burbank, CA: Warner Bros. Blue-Ray disc, 117 min.

Future Weapons. 2019. Waddell Media. Aired on August 7–16 on Discovery Science HD, 3 seasons, 30 episodes (43 min. each). <https://go.discovery.com/tv-shows/future-weapons/>.

Tech Legend. 2020. "Best Drones 2020 – Top 8 Best Drone with Cameras to Buy in 2020". Uploaded on February 7, 2020. YouTube video, 27:20 min. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Z6_4JU5Mspw.

In-text citation:

(Scott [1982] 2007)

(*Future Weapons* 2019)

(Tech Legend 2020)

Social media

Reference list entry:

National Library of Australia. 2020. "National Library of Australia's Facebook Page". Facebook, August 1, 2020. <https://www.facebook.com/National.Library.of.Australia/>.

Kruszelnicki, Karl (@DoctorKarl). 2017. "Dr Karl Twitter post." Twitter, February 19, 2017, 9:34 a.m. <https://twitter.com/DoctorKarl>.

Trapara, Vladimir. 2018. "Victory or nil". *Unwrapping the Essence* (blog). May 29, 2018. <https://unwrappingtheessence.weebly.com/blog/pobeda-ili-nista>.

In-text citation:

(National Library of Australia 2020)

(Kruszelnicki 2017)

(Trapara 2018)

Doctoral dissertation

Reference list entry:

Rohrbach, Livia. 2020. *Beyond intractability? Territorial solutions to self-determination conflicts*. Doctoral dissertation. Department of Political Science, University of Copenhagen.

In-text citation:

(Rohrbach 2020)

Internet source

If citing an undated online document, give an access date and use the year of access as year of publication.

Reference list entry:

Oxford Library. 2012. "Library Strategy". Oxford Library. Accessed 3 June 2012. <http://www.ol.org/library/strategy.html>.

Google Maps. 2015. "The British Library, London, UK". *Google*. Accessed February 5, 2015. <https://www.google.com.au/maps/place/The+British+Library/@51.529972,-0.127676,17z/data=!3m1!4b1!4m2!3m1!1s0x48761b3b70171395:0x18905479de0fdb25>.

IPE [Institute of International Politics and Economics]. n.d. "Mission". Accessed August 1, 2020. <https://www.diplomacy.bg.ac.rs/en/mission/>.

In-text citation:

(Oxford Library 2012)

(Google Maps 2015)

(IIPE n.d.)

Personal communication (letter, emails, telephone conversation)

Personal communications include conversations, interviews, lecture material, telephone conversations, letters and e-mail messages. Place references to personal communications such as letters and conversations within the running text and not as formal end references, because they do not contain recoverable data:

... as mentioned in an e-mail to me from Dr Slobodan Jankovic, December 10, 2019 ...

When in published collections, letters are cited by date of the collection, with individual correspondence dates given in the text:

In a letter to Mary Louise Green from University of Belgrade, May 13, 2017 (Green 2012, 34), ...

Note: The author is responsible for obtaining the approval/permission from the person(s) quoted within the article. The process of obtaining permission should include sharing the article ahead of the submission, so that a person in question could verify the context in which they are being quoted. If permission cannot be obtained, the personal communication must be removed from the article.

Secondary source

If you read an article or book which cites or quotes some information that you want to use, always refer to both the original source and the source where you found the information:

In-text citation:

In his 1975 book *Power* [Macht], Luhmann bases his understanding of power mainly on the social exchange and community power literature (cited in Guzzini 2013, 79).

Reference list entry:

Guzzini, Stefano. 2013. *Power, realism, and constructivism*. Abingdon and New York: Routledge.

TABLES, FIGURES AND GEOGRAPHICAL MAPS

It is necessary to give their number and full title – e.g. *Table 1: Human Development Index among EU members* or *Figure 2: State-Building or Sovereignty Strategy* or *Map 1: Maritime jurisdiction and boundaries in the Arctic region*.

It is particularly important that you have been given written permission to use any tables, figures, and geographical maps you are reproducing from another source before you submit manuscript.

REFERENCE LIST

The list of references should only include works that are cited in the text, tables, figure legend, and footnotes, and that have been published or accepted for publication.

Personal communications and unpublished works should only be mentioned in the text. Do not use footnotes or endnotes as a substitute for a reference list.

Reference list entries should be alphabetised by the last name of author or editor. If no author/editor, order by title.

If the reference list contains two or more items by the same author in the same year, add a, b, etc. and list them alphabetically by title of the work:

Gregory, Derek. 2014a. "Drone Geographies". *Radical Philosophy* RP 183: 7–19.
Gregory, Derek. 2014b. "The Everywhere War". *The Geographical Journal* 177 (3):238–250.

Manuscripts that do not comply with the above-mentioned guidelines will not be taken into consideration for reviewing process.

Editorial Board

EDITORIAL POLICY

International Problems is the oldest peer-reviewed journal in Serbia and the Balkans publishing original research focused on international affairs. Its first issue was published in April 1949. *International Problems* is quarterly journal brought out by the Institute of International Politics and Economics, Belgrade.

International Problems welcomes the submission of scholarly articles on matters of international relations, international security, international law, and globalisation studies. *International Problems* publishes original and review research articles and book reviews in English, that have not been published before and that are not under consideration for publication anywhere else. *International Problems* does not publish foreign policy commentary or policy proposals.

The Editorial Board favours manuscripts that present the research addressing contemporary controversial issues in international relations from various disciplinary and methodological perspectives. Espousing no specific political or methodological stance and willing to advance our understanding of and provoke deeper dialogue on rapidly changing world politics in the 21st century, the Editorial Board prioritizes the following themes:

- Transformation of world politics in the early 21st century.
- Phenomenology and practice of transnationalism and cosmopolitanism.
- Institutionalisation of international relations and its challenges.
- Various theoretical standpoints on current global processes.
- Controversial use of foreign policy instruments by major global actors (old and emerging).
- The impact of the Fourth Industrial Revolution and its advanced technologies on international relations in the 21st century.
- Civilisations, religion, and identities in the context of world politics and globalisation.
- Conceptual and methodological innovations in epistemology of International Relations.

RESPONSIBILITIES OF EDITORIAL COUNCIL, EDITORIAL BOARD, AND EDITORS

Editorial Council is an advisory body that actively contributes to the development of the journal. The tasks and duties of the Editorial Council include: the support to the development of the journal, its promotion, encouraging scholars and academicians in the area of political, security, and legal aspects of international relations to get involved as journal's authors and/or reviewers, writing editorials, reviews and commentaries.

Members of Editorial Board have tasks to act as the journal's ambassadors in the academic community, to contribute with a view to identifying key topics, suggesting quality manuscripts on these topics, and encouraging potential authors to submit to *International Problems*, as well as to review submitted manuscripts and prepare editorials and comments.

Editor-in-Chief is accountable for published content and should strive to constantly improve the journal and the processes for assuring the quality of published material, as well as the protection of freedom of expression, integrity and standards of the research from the influence of political, financial and other interests. Editor-in-Chief should always be willing to publish corrections, clarifications, retractions, and apologies.

Editor-in-Chief is responsible for the final decision to accept or reject a manuscript, and the decision should be based on: 1) evaluation of the manuscript relevance to thematic scope of the journal defined by the editorial policy, 2) assessment of importance, originality, validity and disciplinary relevance of the study presented in the manuscript, 3) assessment of manuscript's compliance with legal requirements regarding libel, copyright infringement and plagiarism. Editor-in-Chief has the discretionary power to reject a submitted manuscript without peer review process if it does not meet the requirements regarding thematic scope of the journal and universal standards of the research (i.e. if it does not have structural elements either of original or review article). Submitted manuscripts that do not meet technical standards defined in Instructions for authors will be sent back to the authors for correction. In normal circumstances, Editorial Board informs the author within seven days from the date of the manuscript submission whether the topic of the manuscript complies with thematic scope of the journal and if peer review process starts.

New Editor-in-Chief must not overturn decision to publish a manuscript made by the previous editor-in-chief unless new facts are established referring to serious problems in quality of the manuscript.

Editor-in-Chief, Deputy Editor-in-Chief and members of Editorial Board must not have a conflict of interest with regard to the manuscript they consider for publication. Members of Editorial Board who have conflict of interest will be excluded from the decision making on the submitted manuscript. If a conflict of interests is identified or declared, Editor-in-Chief selects reviewers and handles the manuscript. Editor-in-Chief, Deputy Editor-in-Chief and members of Editorial Board are obliged to disclose a conflict of interests timely.

Editor-in-Chief, Deputy Editor-in-Chief and members of Editorial Board decisions' to accept or reject manuscript should be free from any racial, gender, sexual, religious, ethnic, or political bias.

Editor-in-Chief, Deputy Editor-in-Chief and members of Editorial Board must not use unpublished material from submitted manuscripts in their research without written consent of the authors. The information and ideas presented in submitted manuscripts must be kept confidential and must not be used for personal gain.

Editor-in-Chief, Deputy Editor-in-Chief and members of Editorial Board shall take all reasonable measures to ensure that the reviewers remain anonymous to the authors before, during and after the evaluation process and the authors remain anonymous to reviewers until the end of the review procedure.

RESPONSIBILITIES OF AUTHOR(S)

By submitting the manuscript, the authors warrant that the entire manuscript is their original work, that it has not been published before and are not under consideration for publication elsewhere. Multiple submission of the same manuscript constitutes ethical misconduct and eliminates the manuscript from consideration by *International Problems*.

Authors warrant that the manuscript, once published in *International Problems*, will not be published elsewhere in any language without the consent of Institute of International Politics and Economics as the copyright holder. In addition, an article published in any other publication must not be submitted to *International Problems* for consideration.

In the case a submitted manuscript is the result of a research project, or its previous version has been presented at a conference (under the same or similar title), detailed information about the project, the conference, etc. shall be provided in a footnote attached to the manuscript title.

It is the responsibility of authors to ensure that manuscripts submitted to *International Problems* comply with ethical standards in scientific research. Authors

warrant that the manuscript contains no unfounded or unlawful statements and does not violate the rights of third parties. The Publisher will not be held legally responsible should there be any claims for compensation.

Content of manuscript

Submitted manuscript should contain sufficient detail and references to allow reviewers and, subsequently, readers to verify the claims presented by authors. The deliberate presentation of false claims is a violation of ethical standards. Book reviews should be accurate and unbiased.

Authors are exclusively responsible for the contents of their submissions and must make sure that, if necessary, they have permission from all parties involved in the presented research to make the data public.

Authors wishing to include figures, tables or other materials that have already been published elsewhere are required to obtain permission from the copyright holder(s), and provide it with the submission, not later. Any material received without such evidence will be assumed to originate from the authors.

Authorship

Authors must make sure that only contributors who have contributed to the submission are listed as authors and, conversely, that all contributors who have contributed to the submission are listed as authors. A manuscript with more than two authors shall not be considered for publishing unless it undoubtedly presents the results of a large-scale empirical study.

If persons other than authors were involved in important aspects of the presented research study and the preparation of the manuscript, their contribution should be acknowledged in a footnote.

Acknowledgment of sources

Authors are required to properly acknowledge all sources that have significantly influenced their research and their manuscript. Information received in a private conversation or correspondence with third parties, in reviewing project applications, manuscripts and similar materials must not be used without the written consent of the information source.

Text recycling

Text recycling occurs when an author uses the identical sections of her/his text in two or more published articles, and it is considered a scientific misconduct and breach of publishing ethics.

Editor-in-Chief considers how much of text is recycled in a submitted manuscript, the significance of places in which the text recycling occurs in the manuscript (e.g. whether are they part of the introduction, section on applied methodology, discussion or conclusion), whether the source of the recycled text has been acknowledged, and whether there is a breach of copyright.

If detected overlap is considered minor, action may not be necessary or the authors may be asked to re-write overlapping sections and cite their previous article(s), if they have not done so. The authors cannot justify the text recycling only on the ground that she/he cited the source. More significant overlap constitutes a basis for rejection of the manuscript.

When handling the cases of text recycling, the Editorial Board will follow guidelines and recommendations issued by the Committee on Publication Ethics – COPE (available at https://publicationethics.org/files/Web_A29298_COPE_Text_Recycling.pdf).

Plagiarism

Plagiarism – that is when someone assumes another's ideas, words, or other creative expression as one's own without referring to original authors and source – is a clear scientific misconduct and breach of publishing ethics. Plagiarism may also involve a violation of copyright law, punishable by legal action.

Plagiarism includes the following:

Word for word, or almost word for word copying, or purposely paraphrasing portions of another author's work without clearly indicating the source or marking the copied fragment (for example, using quotation marks).

Assuming other people's ideas without stating the authorship and sources in which those ideas are originally presented.

Copying equations, figures, or tables from someone else's paper without properly citing the source and/or without permission from the original author or the copyright holder.

The procedure in cases where there are clear indications that a submitted manuscript or published article fall under the definition of plagiarism is described in the sections *Dealing with unethical behaviour* and *Retraction policy*.

Conflict of interests

Authors should disclose in their manuscript any financial or other substantive conflict of interest that might have influenced the presented results or their interpretation.

Fundamental errors in published works

When authors discover a significant error or inaccuracy in their own published work, it is their obligation to promptly notify Editor-in-Chief or the publisher and cooperate to retract or correct the paper.

By submitting a manuscript, the authors agree to abide by *International Problems'* editorial policies.

RESPONSIBILITIES OF REVIEWERS

Reviewers are required to provide competent, explained, and unbiased feedback in a timely manner on the scholarly merits and the scientific value of the manuscript.

The reviewers assess manuscripts for the compliance with the thematic profile of the journal, the relevance of the investigated topic and applied methods, the originality and scientific relevance of results presented in the manuscript, the presentation style and scholarly apparatus.

Reviewer should alert the Editor-in-Chief to any reasonable doubt or knowledge of possible violations of ethical standards by the authors. Reviewer should recognise relevant published works that have not been cited by the authors. Reviewer should alert the Editor-in-Chief to substantial similarities between a reviewed manuscript and any manuscript published or under consideration for publication elsewhere, in the event they are aware of such. Reviewers should also alert the Editor-in-Chief to a parallel submission of the same paper to another journal, in the event they are aware of such.

Reviewer must be free from disqualifying competing interests with respect to the authors and/or the funding sources for the research. If such conflict of interest exists, the reviewers must report them to the Editor without delay.

Reviewer who feels unqualified to review the research topic presented in manuscript – or is not familiar with the research area in which it falls – should notify the Editor-in-Chief. Editor-in-Chief will respect requests from authors that an individual should not review their submission if these are well-reasoned and practicable.

Review must be conducted objectively. Reviewer`s judgement should be stated in a clear manner and supported with arguments. Instructions for reviewers provide detailed guidelines and criteria for the assessment of manuscripts.

Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. Reviewers must not use unpublished materials disclosed in submitted manuscripts without the express written consent of the authors. The information and ideas presented in submitted manuscripts shall be kept confidential and must not be used for personal gain.

PEER REVIEW

The submitted manuscripts are subject to a peer review process. The purpose of peer review is to assist the Editor-in-Chief in making decisions whether to accept or reject manuscript as well as the author in improving the paper. In normal circumstances, the journal strives to provide authors with the decision within 30 days of submission.

Peer review is double-blinded – both authors and reviewers are unknown to each other before, during and after the reviewing process. Editor-in-Chief is obliged to exclude all personal data on authors (name and affiliation) before sending manuscript to reviewers and to act in all reasonable ways to prevent the disclosure of authors` identity to reviewers. Reviewers of a manuscript act independently from each other during the reviewing process. Reviewers are not aware of each other`s identities. If judgements of reviewers differ, Editor-in-Chief may ask for additional assessment.

The choice of reviewers is at the Editor-in-Chief`s discretion. The reviewers must be knowledgeable about the subject area of the manuscript; they must not be from the authors` own institution and they should not have recent joint publications with any of the authors.

Editor-in-Chief sends a submitted manuscript along with the Review Form to two reviewers with the expertise in the field in which the manuscript`s topic falls. The Review Form includes a series of questions to help reviewers to cover all aspects that can decide the fate of a submission. In the final section of the Review Form, the reviewers must include observations and suggestions aimed at improving the submitted manuscript.

During the reviewing process, Editor-in-Chief may require authors to provide additional information (including raw data) if they are necessary for the evaluation of the scientific contribution of the manuscript. These materials shall be kept confidential and must not be used for personal gain.

With respect to reviewers whose reviews are seriously and convincingly questioned by authors, Editor-in-Chief will examine whether the reviews are objective and high in academic standard. If there is any doubt regarding the objectivity of the reviewers or quality of the reviews, Editor-in-Chief will assign additional reviewers.

DEALING WITH UNETHICAL BEHAVIOUR

Editor-in-Chief has a duty to initiate adequate procedure when she/he has a reasonable doubt or determines that a breach of ethical standards has occurred – in published articles or submitted manuscripts. Anyone may inform the Editor-in-Chief at any time of suspected unethical behaviour by giving the necessary evidence.

Editor-in-Chief in cooperation with the Editorial Board will decide on starting an investigation aimed at examining the reported information and evidences. During an investigation, any evidence should be treated as strictly confidential and only made available to those strictly involved in investigating procedure. The authors suspected of misconduct will always be given the chance to respond to any evidences brought up against them and to present their arguments.

Editor-in-Chief in cooperation with the Editorial Board – and, if necessary, with a group of experts – concludes the investigation by making decision whether a breach of ethical standards has occurred or has not. In the case of determined breach of ethical standards, it will be classified as either minor or serious. Serious breaches of ethical standards are plagiarism, false authorship, misreported or falsified data or fabricated or falsified research results, and substantial text recycling (over 50% of a manuscript/article body text).

Along with the rejection of manuscript or retraction of published article from the journal (in accordance with the *Retraction Policy*), the following actions can be pursued, either individually or cumulatively:

A ban on submissions for a two-year period in the case of a minor breach of ethical standards.

A ban on submissions for a period 5–10 years in the case of a serious breach of ethical standards or repetitive minor breaches.

Publication of a formal announcement or editorial describing the case of breach of ethical standards.

Informing the wrongdoer's head of department and/or employer of the breach of ethical standards by means of a formal letter.

Referring a case to a professional organisation or legal authority for further investigation and action.

When dealing with unethical behaviour, the Editor-in-Chief and the Editorial Board will rely on the guidelines and recommendations provided by the Committee on Publication Ethics – COPE (available at <http://publicationethics.org/resources/>).

RETRACTION POLICY

Legal limitations of the publisher, copyright holder or author(s), infringements of professional ethical codes, such as multiple submissions, bogus claims of authorship, plagiarism, fraudulent use of data or any major misconduct require retraction of an article. Occasionally a retraction can be used to correct errors in submission or publication.

In dealing with retractions, Editorial Board complies with guidelines developed by Committee on Publication Ethics (available at <https://publicationethics.org/files/retraction-guidelines.pdf>).

COPYRIGHT

Authors transfer the copyright to the Institute of International Politics and Economics, Belgrade, as the publisher of journal *International Problems*.

Once the manuscript is accepted for publication, authors shall transfer the copyright to the Publisher.

If the submitted manuscript is not accepted for publication by the journal, all rights shall be retained by the author(s).

The rights related to the manuscript that authors grant to the publisher, including any supplemental material, and any parts, extracts or elements, are detailed by the Copyright Transfer Agreement, which authors sign once the manuscript is accepted for publication.

DISCLAIMER

The views expressed in the published articles and other materials do not express the views of Editor-in-Chief and Editorial Board.

The authors take legal and moral responsibility for the ideas expressed in the articles. Publisher shall have no liability in the event of issuance of any claims for damages. The Publisher will not be held legally responsible should there be any claims for compensation.